1	KEKED 6 MANINEGELL D	WDIC 6 CDALDDICLID
2	KEKER & VAN NEST LLP ROBERT A. VAN NEST - #84065 rvannest@kvn.com	KING & SPALDING LLP DONALD F. ZIMMER, JR #112279 fzimmer@kslaw.com
3	CHRISTA M. ANDERSON - #184325 canderson@kvn.com	CHERYL A. SABNIS - #224323 csabnis@kslaw.com
4 5	DANIEL PÜRCELL - #191424 dpurcell@kvn.com 633 Battery Street	101 Second St., Suite 2300 San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel: 415.318.1200
	San Francisco, CA 94111-1809	Fax: 415.318.1300
6	Telephone: 415.391.5400 Facsimile: 415.397.7188	
7	KING & SPALDING LLP	IAN C. BALLON - #141819
8	SCOTT T. WEINGAERTNER ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) sweingaertner@kslaw.com	HEATHER MEEKER - #172148
9 10	ROBERT F. PERRY rperry@kslaw.com BRUCE W. BABER ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )	meekerh@gtlaw.com GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 1900 University Avenue
11.	1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036	East Palo Alto, CA 94303 Tel: 650.328.8500
	Tel: 212.556.2100	Fax: 650.328-8508
12	·	
13	Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC.	
14		
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
17	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
18		
19	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,	Case No. 3:10-cv-03561-WHA
20	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF DAVID ZIMMER IN
21	v.	SUPPORT OF GOOGLE'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO EXCLUDE PORTIONS
22	GOOGLE INC.,	OF THE EXPERT REPORTS OF GREGORY K. LEONARD AND ALAN J.
23	Defendant.	COX
24		Judge: Hon. William Alsup
25		Date Comp. Filed: October 27, 2010
26		
27		
28		
20		
l	I	

2

- I, David Zimmer, declare as follows:
- 3 4
  - Inc. ("Google") in the present case. I submit this declaration in support of Google Inc.'s Opposition to Oracle's Motion to Exclude Portions of the Expert Reports of Gregory K. Leonard

I am an associate with the law firm of Keker & Van Nest LLP, counsel to Google

- 5
- and Alan J. Cox. I have knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and if called to testify as a
- 6
- witness thereto could do so competently under oath.
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

- 2. Attached as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy of Oracle America, Inc.'s ("Oracle") Notice of Deposition of Defendant Google Inc. Pursuant To Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6),
- Topics 4-9, served on Google on June 21, 2011.
- 3. Attached as **Exhibit B** is a true and correct copy of Oracle's Corrected Requests for Production of Documents and Things to Defendant Google Inc., Set Two (82-130), served on Google on January 25, 2011.
- 4. Attached as **Exhibit** C is a true and correct copy of Oracle's Interrogatories To Defendant Google Inc., Set Four, dated June 29, 2011.
- 5. Google has proposed that Oracle take two-hour depositions of Google employee Tim Bray and third-party witness John Rizzo. Mr. Rizzo is not under Google's control, but Google has reached out to him and his employer Aplix regarding potential deposition dates, and has agreed to facilitate Oracle's service of a subpoena on Mr. Rizzo. Google has proposed deposition dates to Oracle for both Mr. Bray and Mr. Rizzo.
- 6. Oracle has deposed Andy Rubin on three occasions in this case—for a full day in his personal capacity on April 5, 2011, for a full day in his personal capacity and on three Rule 30(b)(6) topics on July 27, 2011, and then a further examination on the Rule 30(b)(6) topics on August 18, 2011.
- 7. Attached as **Exhibit D** are true and correct copies of excerpted pages from the transcript of the deposition of Andy Rubin, taken April 5, 2011.
- 8. Oracle has deposed Dan Bornstein on two occasions in this case—for a full day in his personal capacity on May 16, 2011, and then for a further full day as a Rule 30(b)(6) designee on July 22, 2011.

587260.01